RESEARCH MEMO

Options in a Portfolio

A flexible instrument set, discussed almost entirely by people who are not managing portfolios with it

Steven Ede, CFA

November 2026

Framework memo, and the entry point for a set of companion pieces treating each purpose in detail. Illustrative throughout; no client facts are described. Nothing here is tax, legal, or investment advice. Options carry risk and are not suitable for every investor.

1 · SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS

Options are discussed by two groups, and neither one is managing a portfolio. The first trades them for its own account and talks about them as instruments of leverage. The second packages them into products and talks about them as features. The practitioner who implements a contract inside a specific account, for a stated purpose, against a position the client already owns, is the quietest voice in the conversation and the one a client would most benefit from hearing.

The result is an instrument set that is genuinely underused in tailored portfolio management. Not misused — underused. The advisors avoiding it are not making a considered judgment that the tool is unsuitable. Most of them are declining to use something they were never taught, and the decline is reasonable at the level of the individual advisor while being expensive at the level of the portfolio.

> The complexity is real. It is also finite. An option introduces risks that are different in kind from the risks of holding the underlying, but every one of them is enumerable, and every one is knowable before the position is opened. Different is not the same as unmanageable, and unfamiliar is not the same as inappropriate.

Findings

1 · The flexibility is the point, and it is specific. Four purposes cover nearly all legitimate portfolio use: hedging an exposure, generating income against one, entering or exiting a position on terms set in advance, and expressing a view on direction or on volatility. A single contract specification can serve any of them. Almost nothing else in a portfolio has that range. (§3)

2 · The complexity is finite and front-loaded. An option adds five dimensions a share of stock does not have: a defined life, an assignment mechanic, a liquidity profile that varies by strike and tenor, a collateral requirement, and a tax treatment that does not follow the underlying. All five are knowable in advance. None of them reveals itself only after the fact. (§2.2)

3 · The most overlooked use is the one closest to existing practice. Advisors already place limit orders to buy below the market and sell above it. Written puts and written calls do the same job and are paid for the wait, at the cost of an obligation the limit order does not carry. This is the easiest entry point into the toolkit and the one least often taken. (§3.3)

4 · Three capabilities have no close substitute at comparable cost. A payoff that is asymmetric by construction, exposure defined over a specific window of time, and a quoted market price for an outcome the client is already thinking about. Packaged products deliver versions of all three with fees, credit exposure, and no control over strike, tenor, or size. (§4)

5 · The binding constraint is explanation, not approval. Account enablement is paperwork measured in days. Explaining assignment to a client in advance, in plain terms, is the part that fails, and it fails because the person explaining is not confident in the mechanic. That is a competence problem presented as a compliance problem. (§5)

Three standing rules

1. **State the purpose in a sentence before opening a chain.** Hedge, income, entry, exit, or view. A structure selected before the purpose is named is an expression of what was quoted, not of what the portfolio needed.
2. **Disclose assignment as certain, not as unlikely.** Every short option can be assigned, at any strike, at any distance from spot, regardless of intent. Say so on the day the position is opened, not on the day it happens.
3. **Size to the obligation, not to the premium.** The premium is what the position pays. The obligation is what it is. A position sized against the first and not the second is sized against the wrong number.

What would change these conclusions

- **A durable compression of the volatility risk premium.** Much of the income case rests on implied volatility exceeding subsequent realized volatility often enough to compensate the seller. If that relationship narrowed persistently, §3.2 would weaken substantially while §3.1 and §3.3 would be largely unaffected.
- **Packaged alternatives closing the gap.** Defined-outcome and buffered funds deliver option payoffs without approval, documentation, or assignment. Where the packaged shape is close enough to the needed one, the bespoke implementation is harder to justify, and §4 narrows to cases requiring a specific strike, tenor, or underlying.
- **A client who will not accept a capped or path-dependent outcome.** This is a preference, not an error. A client who wants full participation and will be unhappy with a called-away position should not have calls written against it at any premium, and no amount of ex-ante disclosure makes that a good fit.
- **A tax-exempt or tax-deferred account.** An entire layer of the analysis disappears. Holding periods, qualified dividend treatment, straddle rules, and the character of premium all stop mattering, which makes several structures materially simpler than this memo presents them.

◆

2 · WHY A TOOL THIS FLEXIBLE IS THIS UNDERUSED

2.1 The conversation is held by the wrong people

Ask most clients what they associate with options and the answer involves a screen, a short horizon, and a story about someone who lost a great deal of money quickly. That association is not unfair. The public conversation about options is dominated by speculative trading, and the volume in short-dated contracts reflects genuine demand for exactly that.

The other visible use is institutional packaging. Options sit inside structured notes, buffered funds, and overlay products, where they are described as product features rather than as positions. A client who owns a buffered fund owns options, but nothing in the experience of owning it teaches what an option is or what it costs.

What is missing from both is the ordinary case: a contract written against a position the client already holds, for a purpose the client can state, sized to an obligation the client has agreed to accept. That use is unremarkable, which is precisely why nobody writes about it.

2.2 The complexity is real and it is finite

An option is not a share of stock with a modifier. It introduces dimensions that do not exist in the underlying, and treating it as a variation of a stock position is the error that produces most bad outcomes. There are five, and the list does not grow.

A defined life. The position expires. Time works against a long option and in favor of a short one, continuously, whether or not the underlying moves. A thesis that is correct in eighteen months and expressed in a three-month contract is a loss.

Assignment. A short option can be exercised against the writer at any time for American-style equity contracts. Allocation runs through the clearing house to a clearing member and from there to a customer by the member's own method, which means the writer does not control the timing and cannot infer safety from distance to the strike. Early assignment concentrates around dividends for in-the-money calls, and the risk is highest exactly where the position is working.

Liquidity that varies within the same underlying. A widely held stock trades at a penny spread. Its options do not uniformly. Spreads widen with distance from the money, with longer tenors, and in anything other than the front standard expirations. A position that is easy to open can be expensive to close, and the cost of closing is part of the cost of the position.

Collateral. Written options carry a requirement — shares for a covered call, cash for a secured put, margin for anything uncovered. The requirement moves with the market, which means a position can demand attention for reasons unrelated to whether the thesis is intact.

A tax treatment that does not follow the underlying. Premium, exercise, assignment, and expiration are each treated differently. Writing against an appreciated position can suspend a holding period, convert a long-term gain into a short-term one, create a straddle, and disqualify dividends from preferential treatment. None of this is visible on a payoff diagram, and it is where the majority of avoidable damage occurs.

Five items. Each is determinable before the trade. None of them is a surprise that emerges only in hindsight. The honest description of options complexity is that it is substantial, specific, and learnable — which is a very different claim from the one implied when a tool is simply avoided.

2.3 Timidity is a rational response to not knowing

An advisor who does not understand assignment cannot explain assignment. An advisor who cannot explain assignment should not be writing calls in a client account, and declining to do so is the correct decision given what they know. The individual judgment is sound.

The cost sits one level up. A capability that would serve a meaningful share of clients goes unused across an entire practice, and the gap gets attributed to risk, to compliance, or to suitability, when the actual cause is that nobody learned the mechanics. Each of those three is a real constraint in some cases. None of them is the reason the tool sits idle in most.

◆

3 · THE FOUR PURPOSES

These are presented at the level of what each is for and what it costs. Pricing, the Greeks, and structure selection belong in the companion pieces, and nothing below requires them.

3.1 Hedging

Buying a floor under a position. A long put sets a level below which further decline is someone else's problem, for a defined period, at a known price. A collar funds that floor by selling the upside above a chosen level. A put spread funds it by giving back protection below a second, lower level.

The useful framing is that a hedge is a purchase, not a strategy. The client is buying certainty over a window and the premium is its price. Presentations that describe a collar as costless are describing the cash flow rather than the trade: the put was paid for with upside, and the amount of upside surrendered is the entire question. Where the underlying carries meaningful skew, which index and large-cap equity options generally do, the client gives up more potential gain than the downside they removed.

Hedging is also the purpose most often needed on a schedule rather than permanently. Concentration ahead of a lockup expiry, a position carrying a pending catalyst, an exposure that is temporarily too large relative to a plan — each of these is a hedge with a natural end date, and an overlay left in place past its purpose quietly becomes a permanent cap on a position the client was supposed to be exiting.

3.2 Income

Selling an obligation and being paid for it. A covered call collects premium in exchange for surrendering gains above a strike. A cash-secured put collects premium in exchange for agreeing to buy at a strike.

The word income does most of the damage here. Premium is not yield and it is not a dividend. It is compensation for accepting an obligation, and the compensation is available because implied volatility has historically tended to exceed subsequently realized volatility across broad equity indices often enough to pay the seller for bearing that risk. That is a real and reasonably well documented premium. It is not free money, it is not uncorrelated with the portfolio, and it does not persist uniformly across underlyings or regimes.

The two structural facts worth carrying into any conversation: the premium is systematically richer at the index level than on individual names, because index implied volatility carries a correlation component that single-stock options do not; and the position is short convexity, meaning it earns small amounts regularly and gives back larger amounts occasionally. A program evaluated over a period containing only the first half of that pattern is being evaluated on an incomplete sample.

3.3 Entry and exit

The most overlooked purpose, and the one that sits closest to what advisors already do without thinking about it.

A client willing to buy a position at a price below the market can place a limit order and wait. Or they can write a put at that strike and be paid for the same wait. If the price comes, they buy at the level they chose, net of premium received. If it does not, they keep the premium. A client willing to trim above the market has the mirror image available in a written call.

The difference between this and a limit order is an obligation, and it matters in one direction. A limit order can be canceled. A written put cannot be — it can be bought back, at whatever it costs at that moment, which is most expensive exactly when the client has changed their mind. The honest version of this pitch includes that sentence. The dishonest version calls it a limit order that pays you, and omits that the cancel button has a price.

Within that constraint, this is the cleanest use of the instrument set available to a practice that has never used options. It requires the lowest approval level at most custodians, it involves positions the client was prepared to take anyway, and it maps onto a decision the client has already made rather than introducing a new one.

3.4 Speculation, in both of its forms

Worth separating from the other three rather than excluded from the list. There are two kinds, and they are not equally available elsewhere.

A view on direction. A long call or put expresses a directional opinion with a loss capped at the premium. This is legitimate and it should be defended on the same terms as any active position: sized deliberately, held for a stated reason, and judged against the same bar as a stock the manager chose to overweight. The failure mode is not that it is speculative. The failure mode is that it is sized as though the capped loss were unlikely rather than probable, when a long option expiring worthless is the base case rather than the tail.

A view on volatility. This has no expression in a cash portfolio at all. A manager who believes a position will move sharply without a view on which direction, or who believes the market is pricing an upcoming event too richly, has no instrument other than options to act on it. Whether or not a given portfolio should hold such a position, the capability is genuinely unique, and a manager who does not understand it does not have the option to decline it on the merits.

◆

4 · WHAT OPTIONS DO THAT NOTHING ELSE DOES

Three capabilities, and the test applied to each is whether a cash portfolio can reproduce it at comparable cost.

Asymmetry by construction. A long option has a maximum loss known at entry and a payoff that is not symmetric to it. Nothing held outright produces that shape. The usual substitute is a stop-loss, which is not a substitute: a stop does not function across a gap, it converts intraday volatility into realized losses, and it offers no protection on a position that falls and recovers. An option's floor holds at any hour and through any gap, because the floor is a contract rather than an instruction.

Exposure defined over a window. Options are the only common instrument in which time is an explicit input. A manager can take or shed exposure between today and a specific date and hold nothing outside it. No cash instrument expresses an opinion with an end date; the closest available approximation is a position plus an intention, and an intention is not a position.

A quoted price for an outcome. The chain prices questions clients already ask. What does it cost to protect this position through March. What is the market paying for the upside above this level. How much is a decline of this size worth to insure against. These have prices, and the prices are observable without trading anything. A practitioner who reads chains has quantitative answers to questions that are otherwise handled with adjectives.

Packaged products reproduce versions of all three. A buffered fund delivers a shaped payoff without approval, documentation, or assignment, and for many clients that trade is correct. What it gives up is control: the strike is the issuer's, the tenor is the issuer's, the reference is the issuer's, the size is a whole-share increment of a fund rather than a contract fitted to a specific position, and the fee is embedded in the shape rather than quoted separately. A structured note adds the issuer's credit to the list. These are reasonable costs for the convenience. They are costs nonetheless, and they are the reason the bespoke implementation continues to exist.

◆

5 · ENABLEMENT, APPROVAL, AND WHAT GETS SAID OUT LOUD

Three operational facts, and they take a paragraph rather than a chapter. Is the account enabled for options. What approval level does the intended structure require, which varies by custodian and generally escalates from covered positions through long contracts, spreads, and uncovered writing. What documentation is required, which at minimum means the standardized options disclosure and whatever the firm's own supervisory procedure adds. All three are answerable in advance and none of them is difficult.

The useful comparison is margin. Advisors routinely enable margin on client accounts and then never draw on it. Nobody treats that as a commitment to borrow; it is understood as having a capability available should circumstances call for it. Options enablement works the same way. Establishing it at account opening costs a signature and may sit unused for five or six years, and the alternative is discovering the need in the week when the capability would have mattered and spending that week on paperwork instead.

The common objection is that any option position is one complaint away from a problem. That is true, and it is equally true of everything else. An advisor who picks individual stocks is one bad pick away from the same conversation. An advisor who concentrates a portfolio, or declines to, or rebalances on a schedule a client later disputes, is in the identical position. Nothing about selecting securities for other people is free of that exposure, and singling out options as the uniquely hazardous category does not survive the comparison.

What actually provides protection is disclosure made in advance, completely, in terms the client can repeat back. On a covered call, the sentence is this: no matter what strike is chosen, no matter how far it sits from the current price, no matter what the intention of the position was, these shares can be called away. The position may not be repurchasable in time. Assignment can come early. If being separated from this stock at this price would be unacceptable, the position should not be written.

Said on the day the position is opened, that disclosure is a description of the trade. Said on the day assignment arrives, it is an excuse. The difference between the two is whether the advisor understood the mechanic well enough to say it plainly at the outset — which returns the memo to where it began. The constraint on this toolkit is not the instrument, the approval, or the client. It is whether the person recommending it can explain what it does when it goes against them.

◆

6 · HOW THE COMPANION MEMOS FIT

This memo is the entry point. Each purpose is treated in its own piece, and each assumes the purpose has already been named.

- **Hedging a position** — floors, collars, and put spreads; what is surrendered to fund protection, and the tax layer that frequently decides whether the structure was worth building. The existing collar memo treats the single-position case in full.
- **Writing for income** — coverage ratio, strike selection, the volatility risk premium and the conditions under which it compensates the writer. The existing covered call memo treats overlay design and the index-versus-single-name distinction.
- **Entry and exit** — written puts below the market and written calls above it, the obligation that distinguishes them from limit orders, and the cases where the premium is not adequate compensation for it.
- **Directional and volatility views** — sizing a capped-loss position, the base case for a long option, and what a volatility view requires that a directional one does not.

Framework memo prepared for illustrative purposes. Not tax, legal, or investment advice. Options carry risk and are not suitable for every investor; the standardized options disclosure document describes those risks in full. Constructive sale treatment, straddle treatment, and qualified covered call status are legal determinations and should be confirmed with qualified counsel.